Entrepreneurship gaps framework model: An early-stage business diagnostic tool

Background: In South Africa, entrepreneurship literature demonstrates that three out of four businesses collapse within 3 years of their inception. A plethora of research effort identifies factors such as the lack of finance and access to markets as the leading causes for the high attrition rates amongst emerging businesses. This study finds the narrative to be limiting and inadequate as it does not address the possible gap between entrepreneurs’ expectations and their realities of managing their businesses.

Aim: To present the entrepreneurship gaps framework (EGF), an early-stage business diagnostic tool that seeks to assess entrepreneurs’ preparedness.

Setting: This study focused on emerging entrepreneurs operating within the limits of developing economies. The framework can be used by emerging entrepreneurs, capacity development institutions and lenders.

Methods: A descriptive research design supported by a mixed-method research approach was employed. This was coupled by a two-phase data collection procedure which took place within Limpopo province with 215 participants. Explorative data analysis based on discrete choice models was further implemented.

Results: Findings on the EGF illustrated the ability of the framework to act as a more comprehensive diagnostic mechanism that improves early-stage entrepreneurship survival.

Conclusion: Entrepreneurship gaps framework is a decision-making tool that can be used by lenders and capacity development institutions to evaluate the emerging entrepreneur with respect to specific areas of business. This results in the necessary support for improving entrepreneur preparedness being provided to entrepreneurs. Secondly, entrepreneurs are likely to benefit from the EGF, if used as a self-diagnostic tool to measure their business preparedness and experience.
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Introduction

The existing narratives on early- or formative-stage business failure are misleading, and at best, impoverished. In a multitude of these, journal articles and case studies, the cliché ‘3-out-of-4 businesses collapse within three years’ is almost always followed by recommendations such as, amongst others: (1) government must improve the access to new markets for Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) and (2) banks ought to be more business-friendly (Adonis & Van Wyk 2012; Chinomona & Maziriri 2015; Lekhanya 2016; Luiz & Martine 2011; Secundo et al. 2017). Whilst this at times is correct, this article argues that this perspective is too limiting and inadequate in providing a more holistic account of early-stage business failure. This article is persuaded to consider entrepreneurship as a trade and as with any trade (e.g. carpentry, music or medicine), a tradesman specialises and consolidates experience in a sub-discipline such as neurosurgery – a highly specialised field of medicine that deals with brain surgery. With the above parallelism of entrepreneurship to neurosurgery in mind, it is argued that despite a neurosurgeon being a ‘doctor’, not any doctor can perform brain surgery.

From the above discussion, it is postulated that emerging entrepreneurs may experience a discrepancy between their expectations of running a business versus the realities presented by owning and managing a ‘real’ business. We refer to this discrepancy (the difference between expectations and reality) as the entrepreneurship gap (EG). More formally, EG = expectations – realities. Therefore, when expectations are considerably higher than the business realities
(high EG), the entrepreneur’s ability to deal with the inconsistency becomes fundamental. On the other hand, lower EG tends to be associated with businesses with a higher propensity to survive as the entrepreneurs have much fewer issues to deal with. Against this background, the purpose of this research is to construct the entrepreneurship gaps framework (EGF) that will assist in assessing the preparedness of entrepreneurs in the formative stage of business (less than 3 years in existence).

**Problem investigated**

The lack of self-assessment tools in the South African context that assess emerging entrepreneurs’ level of business preparedness (including expectations) is a challenge that capacity development institutions and financial institutions encounter. The need to determine the level of individual support that emerging entrepreneurs require has not been thoroughly addressed as compared to the need for resources. This creates a need for workable solutions that address the challenge (Al-Lamki et al. 2016; Parliamentary Monitoring Group 2012; Underhill Corporate Solutions 2011).

**Research objective**

The objective of this article is to report on the development process of an EGF. This will involve identifying specific gaps within the ambit of literature of which the gaps are professed to be common factors of entrepreneurs’ expectations.

**Underpinning theories**

The context of the study has two aspects that establish the foundation of EG. A closer look reveals the entrepreneur and the business components. Within the entrepreneurship field, various theories have been effectually used in dissecting and attempting to establish the foundation of EG (see Table 1).

A closer look at the theories given in Table 1 reveals that the discrepancy theory has been applied towards understanding the individual (entrepreneur) in relation to the expectations against achieved standards presented by the business component (Cooper & Artz 1995; Fast et al. 2014). A discrepancy in this matter is a perceived difference of determined standards and the level of accomplishment attained thereof.

Discrepancy theorists articulate that the existence of such a difference may lead to emotive or active reactions, even to an extent of dismissal of set standards. This outcome is derived from various sources, such as social pressure, threshold requirements and personal expectations, amongst others (Locke 1969; Oliver 1981). The discrepancy theory thereby suitably applies to this study as it assists in understanding the discrepancies faced by an entrepreneur in the world of business unequivocally, instituting the conceptual framework of the study.

**Conceptual framework**

Dichotomising the postulated EG foundation and focusing on the entrepreneur component, Figure 1 was developed to comprehend formative stage business failure by analysing individual business expectations against entrepreneurship business realities. The elements at the left of the demarcation line (in Figure 1) represent the cliché of personal expectations faced by entrepreneurs in the formative stage of business. These expectations vary from personal to business-related expectations. Contrary to the expectations, at the right side of the demarcation line are the realities of operating a business. For instance, an emerging entrepreneur may expect to have less time at work because they oversee it as opposed to an employee who works for 8:00–17:00. However, the reality of operating the business may result in more time being required at work. The difference between these two levels creates an entrepreneurial gap. Figure 1 thus provides an illustration of the components of the conceptual framework.

Most of the previous studies have focused on issues such as the gender gap in entrepreneurship and meandered its way into the family gap (FG) with respect to women entrepreneurs. But at this point, there is still more to be done concerning the gap illustrated in Figure 1 with respect to a holistic entrepreneurship understanding (Djankov, Nikolova & Zilinsky 2016; Haugh &
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**TABLE 1: Underpinning theories in previous studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study area</th>
<th>Underpinning theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cooper and Artz (1995)</td>
<td>Determinants of satisfaction</td>
<td>Discrepancy theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steel and Konig (2006)</td>
<td>Theories of motivation</td>
<td>Expectancy theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fitzsimmons and Douglas (2011)</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial intentions</td>
<td>Expectancy theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gorgievski, Ascanol and Stephan (2011)</td>
<td>Small business owners’ success</td>
<td>Theory of human values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renko, Kroec and Bullough (2011)</td>
<td>Business start-up process</td>
<td>Expectancy theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fast, Burriss and Bartel (2014)</td>
<td>Managerial self-efficacy</td>
<td>Discrepancy theory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malebana (2014)</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial intentions</td>
<td>Theory of planned behaviour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barba-Sanchez and Atienza-Sahauquil (2017)</td>
<td>Entrepreneurial motivation</td>
<td>Expectancy theory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**FIGURE 1: Entrepreneurship gap in the early stage.**
Deliberating from the aforementioned discussion, this background could be one of the reasons why a high attrition rate of emerging business ventures exists as individuals give up soon yet there could be remedial ways to resuscitate the business before it collapses. One is, therefore, inclined to accept that the high number of early-stage business failures in South Africa, amongst other reasons, is also caused by the absence of a diagnostic tool that would reduce such a predicament. Entrepreneurship gap analysis would thereby provide a platform to understand the possibility of whether an entrepreneur is likely to succeed in operating a business or fail to do so. Such an attempt can best be achieved by using suitable research methods based on the conceptual framework.

**Research methodology**

To attain the objective of this article, the study was conducted in Limpopo province, with entrepreneurship academics and entrepreneurs composing the population. A descriptive research design supported by a mixed-method approach was employed. This was coupled with a two-phase data collection procedure (Sibindi & Aren 2015). Phase 1 involved in-depth interviews in order to extract expectations and realities pertaining to entrepreneurship. These realities and expectations were then used to develop a questionnaire. These themes were validated by key experts in the field of entrepreneurship whilst supported by the literature. Phase 2 then used a structured self-completing questionnaire with questions derived from Phase 1 themes.

The instrument was subjected to factor analysis and Cronbach’s alpha test for reliability, with a pilot study being conducted to retest the instrument for validity purposes. The purpose of Phase 2 was to extract quantitative data for modelling. Fifteen respondents for Phase 1 were purposively approached (Ilker, Sulaiman & Rukayya 2015). The respondents were operating a small business, had been in business for at least 3 years and were of any gender despite the type of business. This was done to ensure that a broader spectrum of what entrepreneurs encounter in their career is achieved. Hence, it establishes a platform for future researchers to narrow the purpose to specific areas of interest.

For Phase 2, a minimum of 200 respondents were randomly approached. The difference from Phase 1 is that entrepreneurs selected for Phase 2 were operating a business still in the
early stage (not more than 3 years). For both phases, it was ensured that informed respondents freely participated and that they had the right to withdraw from the study at any moment. Ethical research principles governed the behaviour of the researchers as the survey was conducted. The series of events was then rounded up by explorative data analysis grounded on discrete choice models (DCMs).

Modelling framework

The development of a pragmatic model required a modelling framework that set the course of events concerning the variables of interest. To materialise this concept, DCMs were applied. A DCM is used in explaining, describing and predicting sets (choices) of two or more discrete options (Sarrias 2016). The general DCM is given in Equation 1:

$$y_i = x_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 1)]

where $Y_i$ is the process for individual $i = 1, \ldots, n$ in period $t = 1, \ldots, T$, $x_i$ is a vector of independent variables (covariates) and $\epsilon_i$ is an error term. The general formulation of Equation 1 falls under one of the following binary, ordered and Poisson distribution function of the error term, for which $\epsilon$ is an error term. The general formulation of Equation 1 falls under one of the following binary, ordered and Poisson models given in Equation 2 (Sarrias 2016):

$$f(y_i | x_i, \beta) = \prod_{j=1}^{J} \left[ F \left( x_i^T \beta - k_j \right) \right]^{y_i} \left[ 1 - F \left( x_i^T \beta - k_j \right) \right]^{1-y_i}$$

$$\times \frac{1}{y_i!} \exp \left[ -x_i^T \beta \right] \exp \left( x_i^T \beta \right)^{y_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 2)]

From Equation 2, for the binary model, $F(.)$ denotes the distribution function of the error term, for which $F(\delta) = \Lambda(\delta)$ represents the logit model (logistic regression model). For the ordered model, $k_j$ denotes a threshold of choice, $j = 1, \ldots, J$.

For this study, let $EG = expectations - realities$, then if $EG > \tau$, where $\tau$ is a predetermined threshold, then this indicates a high risk entrepreneur based on the entrepreneurial gap. Let $P(EG_i = 1 | x_i)$ be the probability of a high risk of business failure, then:

$$P(EG_i = 1 | x_i) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-EG_i}}$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 3)]

where:

$$EG_i = c + x_i^T \beta + \epsilon_i, i = 1, \ldots, n, t = 1, \ldots, T$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 4)]

with:

$$EG_i = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } EG_i \geq \tau \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 5)]

where $EG_i$ takes value 1 if $EG$ exceeds a predetermined threshold $\tau$, $x_i$ denotes the set of predictor variables (i.e. $fG$, $IG$, $SG$, and $StG$). Therefore:

$$EG_i = c + \beta_1 fG_i + \beta_2 IG_i + \beta_3 SG_i + \beta_4 StG_i + \epsilon_i$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 6)]

The parameters of Equation 6 will be estimated using the maximum likelihood (ML) method. The log-likelihood function, $L(\beta | x_i)$ is given in Equation 7:

$$L(\beta | x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \ln P(EG_i = 0 | x_i, \beta) + EG_i \ln P(EG_i = 1 | x_i, \beta) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} [(Eqn 7)]
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Results

The results presented in this section are derived from Phase 2 data collection in line with testing the model. Respondents who met the criteria of operating a business for less than 3 years of existence were randomly selected. A total of 215 respondents managed to provide meaningful data which were advanced for further analysis. The modelling and analysis were done using the open-source software R version 3.6.0, which is available on the R CRAN (https://cran.r-project.org/).

Figure 2 illustrates the normal distribution of $EG$ on data of 215 observations with four variables. There were a total of 215 respondents. This was split into two sets: a training set with $n_1 = 200$ respondents and a validation set of $n_2 = 15$ respondents. Elastic net regression was used to prevent overfitting through shrinkage methods of Ridge and Lasso, resultantly providing meaningful data for predictions (Hastie, Tibshirani & Wainwright 2015).

For a start, if $EG > 0$, it implies likely challenges in running the business, which may stir business failure if $EG$ has a large positive value. This article initially set the threshold ($\tau$) to help in determining a binary variable for $EG$ for which if $EG > \tau$, this would signal serious challenges the entrepreneur is likely to face. This threshold, $\tau$, was determined as the average of the positive EGs from the training set and was found to be $\tau = 0.405996$.

With $EG$ plots known, the ability of the entrepreneur to adjust accordingly when facing business challenges could be determined. As in Figure 3, respondents above the threshold level face a dilemma of surviving the early stage of business as compared to respondents plotted below the threshold. A cross-validation test using Lambda was conducted, which validated the model and its ability to predict the likelihood of an entrepreneur’s level of preparedness.

Discussion

To illustrate the concept expressed by Equation 6, Figure 4 presents an example of $EG$. As presented in the figure, $EG$ is subjected to entrepreneurs’ expectations. Within the
context of this article, these expectations are subject to four major variables – FG, IG, SG and StG – which are presented as factors determining the position of EG and thereby providing a starting point for understanding entrepreneurship failure. The illustration in Figure 4 shows the existence of two zones. Zone 1 shows the area of a high personal risk which is likely to induce business failure, whilst Zone 2 demarcates the area of a low personal risk with respect to inducing business failure; however, this is dependent on the position of EG.

In Zone 1, an entrepreneur who is above the EG line – that is, has higher EG levels – is postulated to have misaligned business expectations to business realities. Equally, the individual experiences more pressure in terms of adjusting to entrepreneurship as one would not have expected such necessary changes. This is attributed to the necessity of effectively adjusting to business realities and the subsequent challenges arising thereof because of different factors contributing to the entrepreneurial gaps which are unaccounted for during the business adjustment process. In contrast, an entrepreneur below EG line (Zone 2) is less likely to be affected.

Entrepreneurs with lower levels of EG tend to have businesses with a higher propensity to survive. As postulated, the entrepreneurs expect and account for possible business adjustments in a manageable condition because business expectations and realities are more aligned.

The high attrition rate of emerging businesses in South Africa signifies the need to develop workable means or methods that could be used to decrease the rate of early-stage business failure and, therefore, to improve economic growth. The proposed framework provides a diagnostic tool as a possible solution for the entrepreneur and simultaneously acts as a decision tool for Capacity Development Institutions (CDIs) which is something that has been indirectly requested by Underhill Corporate Solutions (2011), Ruiz, Soriano and Coduras (2015) and Olugbola (2017). When the EG position
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has been identified, the entrepreneurs should re-evaluate their expectations in line with the propensities of the business to deliver those expectations. This would, however, require improving the individual’s preparedness wherein CDIs could stimulate such improvements through effective monitoring and evaluation.

A closer look at CDIs making use of the framework reveals how managers can improve their services. With limited resources available, CDI managers in charge of allocating funds are restricted to make decisions based on the business component alone. At the emergence of EGF, managers can now have a comprehensive understanding of the entrepreneur together with the business component. Progressively, a holistic understanding of the client seeking funds will thus be achieved by providing reasonable information that every manager in charge of funds would greatly embrace. At best, managers can therefore predict the entrepreneur’s likelihood of success or not, thereby creating a platform for sound decisions to be made.

Irrespective of managerial position, entrepreneurs making use of the EGF should bear in mind the constant need to stay at par with business realities, of which EGF is a tool that could be used in such cases.

**Conclusion**

From the findings of this study, it can be concluded that the EGF will act as a more comprehensive diagnostic mechanism to improve early-stage entrepreneurship survival. The framework addresses the need for an entrepreneur to adjust successfully to business realities. At ease, entrepreneurs will be able to assess their ability to effect necessary changes towards establishing and operating a successful venture based on the results of the framework. This assessment, in addition, should lead to the identification of problem areas and conceive the implementation of remedies that advances the chances of entrepreneurship survival. As the framework consists of the main factors affecting early-stage entrepreneurship as supported by the literature – the four gaps indicated in Table 2 and Equation 6 individuals will be assessed against these factors. The results thereof will display the entrepreneurial gap of the respective individual. As a start, relevant support with regard to advising and mentoring will be provided, improving the chances of the emerging entrepreneur to adjust to business realities.

Simultaneously, the CDIs can re-evaluate their strategies towards entrepreneurship development, resulting in them providing the vital support resources to categories of entrepreneurs. The collaborative efforts of the entrepreneur and the support structures would, in turn, be assisted by an EG diagnostic tool that would enhance entrepreneurship survival in emerging economies.

This process should assist in developing strategic avenues that emerging businesses could consider to effectively manage initial challenges associated with high EG levels and business adjustment process. With such outputs from this article, policymakers, emerging entrepreneurs and interested stakeholders would have a more comprehensive and informed platform to tackle early-stage business failure, which should, eventually, lead to improved survival ratings of emerging businesses in South Africa.
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